

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,093	05/21/2001	Gerald R. Clark	70651	1812
22242	7590 03/03/2006		EXAMINER	
FITCH EV	EN TABIN AND FLA	PHAN, HANH		
120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IL 60603-3406	2638		
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. Supplemental	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/864,093	CLARK ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hanh Phan	2638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have						
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>9 and 19</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>9 and 19.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8, 10-18 and 20-50.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)13. Other:						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments to claims 1, 5-8, 10-12, 16-18, 20-22, 24-29, 31-35, 38-43 and 46-50 are not persuasive. The independent claims 1, 12, 20, 29, 35 and 43 are now amended to include the limitation of "wherein the step of converting the fiber interface fundamental wavelength of the first optical signal to a free space fundamental wavelength is performed all-optically without using electro-optical conversion, and wherein the step of converting the free space fundamental wavelength of the second optical signal to a fiber interface fundamental wavelength is performed all-optically without using electro-optical conversion" and the applicant argues that the cited references (Medved et al and Geiger) fail to teach such limitation. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Geiger teaches in Figure 1 that using an optical parametric oscillator (OPO) for converting a fiber interface fundamental wavelength of a first optical signal to a free space fundamental wavelength is performed all-optically without using electro-optical conversion and using an optical parametric amplifier (OPA) for converting a free space fundamental wavelength of a second optical signal to a fiber interface fundamental wavelength is performed all-optically without using electro-optical conversion (see column 3, lines 20-56). Therefore, it is believed that the limitations of claims 1, 5-8, 10-12, 16-18, 20-22, 24-29, 31-35, 38-43, and 46-50 are still met by the combination of Medved, Geiger, Ransford and Kumar and the rejection is still maintained.

HANH PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER